Piracymeter UAB

Annual Trusted Flagger Activity Report under Article 22(3) DSA

Reporting period: 1 January 2025 – 31 December 2025

Publication date: 23 February 2026

Awarding Digital Services Coordinator (DSC): Communications Regulatory Authority of the Republic of Lithuania (Ryšių Reguliavimo Tarnyba – RRT)

Area of expertise: Intellectual property infringements

Public contact for this report: info@piracymeter.com

1. Purpose of this report

This Annual Trusted Flagger Activity Report is published by Piracymeter UAB in accordance with Article 22(3) of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 (Digital Services Act, "DSA"). It provides an easily comprehensible and detailed overview of notices submitted under Article 16 DSA during the reporting period (year 2025), including aggregated outcomes and an explanation of the procedures in place to ensure Piracymeter's independence as a Trusted Flagger.

This report is made publicly available and is submitted to the awarding Digital Services Coordinator, RRT, in line with Article 22(3) DSA. The information in this report does not contain personal data.

2. Scope and definitions

This report covers notices submitted by Piracymeter UAB via providers' notice-and-action mechanisms within the meaning of Article 16 DSA during the reporting period.

In this report, "**provider of hosting services**" refers to the online service to which Piracymeter submitted an Article 16 notice (including online platforms and other services that store and make user-uploaded content available).

"**Type of allegedly illegal content**" refers to the content category notified by Piracymeter within its designated area of expertise (intellectual property infringements), classified according to the taxonomy described in Section 3.

"**UGC platform**" refers to a user-generated content service, such as a social network or video-sharing platform, where users upload and publish content directly on the service for public access.

"**Cyberlocker**" refers to a file-hosting / file-sharing service where users upload files and receive shareable download and/or streaming links, which are then typically distributed via third-party sites. In piracy ecosystems, cyberlockers often serve as the underlying storage layer for full copies of audiovisual works, with the content then surfaced to users via direct download links, embedded players (embed codes) placed on pirate streaming sites, or link directories and aggregators. Many cyberlockers operate affiliate or uploader incentive programmes that reward uploaders and webmasters based on performance metrics such as file downloads, streaming views, and/or referral traffic, creating a direct economic incentive for repeated re-uploading and wide distribution. Many cyberlocker services also maintain multiple mirror or backup domains, which are routinely rotated to preserve availability and to circumvent site-blocking measures adopted in different jurisdictions in response to illegal content distribution.

"**Action taken by the provider**" refers to the provider's outcome following the notice, mapped into normalized outcome categories described in Section 4.

"Median takedown time (hours)" refers to the median elapsed time between Piracymeter's submission of an Article 16 notice and the provider's first action to remove or disable access to the reported content (where an action occurred and the timestamps are measurable). The median is the middle value across all measured notices for the relevant provider or category, which helps reduce the impact of outliers compared to an average.

3. Taxonomy used for "type of allegedly illegal content"

For 2025 reporting, Piracymeter used the following content-type taxonomy for Article 16 notices within the intellectual property domain:

Content type code: IP-AV-FULL
Definition: Unauthorised full-length audiovisual works (films, series episodes, complete seasons) made available via hosting services.

Content type code: IP-AV-CLIPS
Definition: Unauthorised clips and excerpts (including compilations) where the overall context indicates infringement.

Content type code: IP-LIVE
Definition: Unauthorised live streams of protected broadcasts or events.

4. Outcome mapping for "action taken by the provider"

Providers may communicate actions differently. For reporting consistency, Piracymeter maps provider outcomes into the following normalized categories:

Outcome code: REMOVED/DISABLED
Definition: The provider removed the content, disabled access, or otherwise made the content unavailable as of 31 December 2025.

Outcome code: NO ACTION / PENDING
Definition: The provider did not remove or disable access to the content. This includes cases where the notice remained under review or received no response by 31 December 2025.

Outcome code: REJECTED
Definition: The provider explicitly rejected the notice or determined that the reported content did not violate applicable rules based on the notice.

5. Mandatory statistics: notices categorised by provider, content type, and action taken

Table 1. Notices by provider of hosting services and action taken (counts)

Provider Domain	Provider Type	Total infringing URLs	Removed	No action / pending	Rejected	% removed	% no action	% rejected	Median takedown time (hours)	<24h removal %	No action after 24h %	<72h removal %	No action after 72h %
	All	**4556**	**2833**	**1723**	**0**	**62%**	**38%**	**0%**	n/a	**23.74%**	**76.26%**	**49.7%**	**50.3%**
	Cyberlocker	**2477**	**1185**	**1296**	**0**	**48%**	**52%**	**0%**	n/a	**0.32%**	**99.68%**	**38.12%**	**61.88%**
	UGC	**2077**	**1648**	**429**	**0**	**79%**	**21%**	**0%**	n/a	**58.01%**	**41.99%**	**67.19%**	**32.81%**
	App marketplace	**2**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0%**	**100%**	**0%**	n/a	**0**	**100%**	**0%**	**100%**
1cloudfile[.]com	Cyberlocker	37	37	0	0	100%	0%	0%	n/a	0	100	100	0
1fichier[.]com	Cyberlocker	47	47	0	0	100%	0%	0%	187	0	100	38.3	61.7
abstream[.]to	Cyberlocker	49	49	0	0	100%	0%	0%	406	0	100	95.92	4.08
bigwarp[.]io	Cyberlocker	1	1	0	0	100%	0%	0%	216	0	100	0	100
bilibili[.]tv	UGC	2	2	0	0	100%	0%	0%	n/a	0	100	100	0
bowfile[.]com	Cyberlocker	11	10	1	0	91%	9%	0%	n/a	0	100	90.91	9.09
cybervynx[.]com	Cyberlocker	174	0	174	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
d0o0d[.]com	Cyberlocker	27	0	27	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
dailymotion[.]com	UGC	1488	1347	141	0	90%	10%	0%	8	68.68	31.32	77.02	22.98
ddownload[.]com	Cyberlocker	6	6	0	0	100%	0%	0%	n/a	0	100	100	0
dingtezuni[.]com	Cyberlocker	2	1	1	0	50%	50%	0%	n/a	0	100	50	50
dood[.]wf	Cyberlocker	44	14	30	0	32%	68%	0%	18	15.91	84.09	29.55	70.45
doodstream[.]com	Cyberlocker	93	7	86	0	8%	92%	0%	85	0	100	4.3	95.7
dsvplay[.]com	Cyberlocker	67	0	67	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
earnvids[.]xyz	Cyberlocker	21	0	21	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
facebook[.]com	UGC	11	11	0	0	100%	0%	0%	8	100	0	100	0
fast-down[.]com	Cyberlocker	11	0	11	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
fdewsdc[.]sbs	Cyberlocker	54	0	54	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
filemoon[.]sx	Cyberlocker	214	46	168	0	21%	79%	0%	n/a	0	100	21.5	78.5
filepv[.]com	Cyberlocker	2	0	2	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
forafile[.]com	Cyberlocker	28	0	28	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100

frdl[.]io	Cyberlocker	16	13	3	0	81%	19%	0%	n/a	0	100	81.25	18.75
fsdcmo[.]sbs	Cyberlocker	61	1	60	0	2%	98%	0%	n/a	0	100	1.64	98.36
gofile[.]io	Cyberlocker	22	22	0	0	100%	0%	0%	n/a	0	100	100	0
goodstream[.]one	Cyberlocker	5	0	5	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
hglink[.]to	Cyberlocker	132	18	114	0	14%	86%	0%	n/a	0	100	13.64	86.36
hlswish[.]com	Cyberlocker	19	3	16	0	16%	84%	0%	579	0	100	0	100
huawei[.]com	App marketplace	2	0	0	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
indavideo[.]hu	UGC	15	15	0	0	100%	0%	0%	48	0	100	100	0
koramaup[.]com	Cyberlocker	21	16	5	0	76%	24%	0%	n/a	0	100	76.19	23.81
kravaxxa[.]com	Cyberlocker	31	0	31	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
liiivideo[.]com	Cyberlocker	15	0	15	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
listeamed[.]net	Cyberlocker	62	0	62	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
lulustream[.]com	Cyberlocker	85	78	7	0	92%	8%	0%	n/a	0	100	91.76	8.24
luluvdo[.]com	Cyberlocker	28	26	2	0	93%	7%	0%	n/a	0	100	92.86	7.14
luluvid[.]com	Cyberlocker	47	47	0	0	100%	0%	0%	n/a	0	100	100	0
mdiaload[.]com	Cyberlocker	13	0	13	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
megatukmax[.]xyz	Cyberlocker	6	2	4	0	33%	67%	0%	505	0	100	0	100
megaup[.]net	Cyberlocker	21	21	0	0	100%	0%	0%	184	0	100	0	100
mixdrop[.]ps	Cyberlocker	158	154	4	0	97%	3%	0%	n/a	0	100	97.47	2.53
mixloads[.]to	Cyberlocker	4	0	4	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
movearnpre[.]com	Cyberlocker	17	11	6	0	65%	35%	0%	433	0	100	52.94	47.06
multiup[.]io	Cyberlocker	21	21	0	0	100%	0%	0%	n/a	0	100	100	0
mxdrop[.]to	Cyberlocker	190	189	1	0	99%	1%	0%	579	0	100	96.32	3.68
my.mail[.]ru	UGC	3	3	0	0	100%	0%	0%	210	0	100	0	100
nitroflare[.]com	Cyberlocker	6	3	3	0	50%	50%	0%	215	0	100	0	100
ok[.]ru	UGC	20	17	3	0	85%	15%	0%	n/a	0	100	85	15
peytonepre[.]com	Cyberlocker	11	0	11	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
rapidgator[.]net	Cyberlocker	6	6	0	0	100%	0%	0%	n/a	0	100	100	0
reddit[.]com	UGC	4	4	0	0	100%	0%	0%	6	100	0	100	0
rutube[.]ru	UGC	9	2	7	0	22%	88%	0%	208	0	100	0	100
savefiles[.]com	Cyberlocker	23	0	23	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
short[.]icu	Cyberlocker	15	15	0	0	100%	0%	0%	n/a	0	100	100	0

smoothpre[.]com	Cyberlocker	4	0	4	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
streamtape[.]cc	Cyberlocker	46	46	0	0	100%	0%	0%	188	0	100	2.17	97.83
streamtape[.]com	Cyberlocker	49	49	0	0	100%	0%	0%	188	0	100	0	100
streamwish[.]fun	Cyberlocker	24	23	1	0	96%	4%	0%	n/a	0	100	95.83	4.17
t[.]me	UGC	97	70	27	0	72%	28%	0%	116	0	100	10.31	89.69
terabox[.]app	Cyberlocker	6	0	6	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
tiktok[.]com	UGC	4	4	0	0	100%	0%	0%	6	100	0	100	0
twitch[.]tv	UGC	1	1	0	0	100%	0%	0%	11	100	0	100	0
updown[.]cam	Cyberlocker	6	0	6	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
updown[.]icu	Cyberlocker	26	0	26	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
upn[.]one	Cyberlocker	1	0	1	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
uqload[.]cx	Cyberlocker	40	0	40	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
uqload[.]net	Cyberlocker	19	0	19	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
usersdrive[.]com	Cyberlocker	54	19	35	0	35%	65%	0%	163	0	100	18.52	81.48
videa[.]hu	UGC	140	140	0	0	100%	0%	0%	6	100	0	100	0
vidhideplus[.]com	Cyberlocker	16	0	16	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
vidmoly[.]net	Cyberlocker	18	12	6	0	67%	33%	0%	n/a	0	100	66.67	33.33
vidspeed[.]org	Cyberlocker	1	0	1	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
vidspeeds[.]com	Cyberlocker	17	0	17	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
vidtube[.]pro	Cyberlocker	27	25	2	0	93%	7%	0%	n/a	0	100	92.59	7.41
viid[.]cam	Cyberlocker	27	3	24	0	11%	89%	0%	189	3.7	96.3	3.7	96.3
vikingfile[.]com	Cyberlocker	23	23	0	0	100%	0%	0%	184	0	100	0	100
vinovo[.]to	Cyberlocker	69	68	1	0	99%	1%	0%	n/a	0	100	98.55	1.45
vk[.]com	UGC	58	9	49	0	16%	84%	0%	188	0	100	38.71	61.29
vkvideo[.]ru	UGC	200	0	200	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
voe[.]sx	Cyberlocker	6	6	0	0	100%	0%	0%	213	0	100	0	100
vsrc[.]su	Cyberlocker	1	0	1	0	0%	100%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
wasuytm[.]store	Cyberlocker	1	1	0	0	100%	0%	0%	n/a	0	100	0	100
workers[.]dev	Cyberlocker	43	14	29	0	33%	67%	0%	123	0	100	30.23	69.77
x[.]com	UGC	2	2	0	0	100%	0%	0%	8	100	0	100	0
yeprenew[.]com	Cyberlocker	32	32	0	0	100%	0%	0%	194	0	100	0	100
youtube[.]com	UGC	21	21	0	0	100%	0%	0%	6	100	0	100	0

Table 2. Notices by type of allegedly illegal content and action taken (counts)

Type of allegedly illegal content (taxonomy)	Infringing URLs submitted	REMOVED/DISABLED	NO ACTION / PENDING / NO RESPONSE (as of 31 Dec 2025)	REJECTED
IP-AV-FULL	4556	2833	1723	0
IP-AV-CLIPS	0	0	0	0
IP-LIVE	0	0	0	0
Total	4556	2833	1723	0

6. High-level observations

Dailymotion

In 2025, dailymotion[.]com accounted for the highest volume of reported instances where unauthorised full-length audiovisual works (films and TV series episodes) were made available via its video-hosting service.

As of **31 December 2025**, **1,347** of the **1,488** reported videos had been removed or disabled; the remaining videos were actioned after the reporting period.

Dailymotion ultimately removed or disabled access to **100%** of the reported videos and communicated that it also implemented repeat-infringer measures, including terminating accounts of users who repeatedly uploaded infringing content and applying fingerprinting based on reported content to help prevent re-uploads.

Piracymeter observed material delays in notice processing during the year-end holiday period. Beginning **25 December 2025**, a significant portion of notices remained unprocessed for extended periods, with takedown delays of up to **10 days**. As a result, infringing videos remained accessible for prolonged periods despite daily submissions. In multiple cases, the same uploader accounts — including accounts operating as “partners” — re-uploaded the same titles shortly after removal. This required repeated reporting of the same content and, in some cases, Piracymeter submitted **up to 10 notices** relating to the same accounts and repeated infringements.

The illegal distribution affected premium, newly released episodic content, including HD-quality copies appearing on Dailymotion on the day of premiere on paid SVOD services. Given the time-sensitive nature of premieres, these delays had a critical impact on copyright holders, as the content remained available during the highest-demand window.

Following escalation and direct engagement, Piracymeter notes that Dailymotion addressed the operational bottleneck and began prioritising Trusted Flagger notices, improving timeliness. Piracymeter also notes Dailymotion's transparency and communications practices, including clear status visibility for notices submitted via email and the Trusted Flagger web form, automated email updates on status changes, and constructive engagement from Dailymotion's Legal and Trust & Safety teams while resolving the issue.

Telegram (t[.]me)

In 2025, Piracymeter submitted Article 16 notices to Telegram (t[.]me) concerning infringing content within its designated area of expertise (intellectual property infringements).

As of **31 December 2025**, **70** of the **97** reported items had been removed or disabled; the remaining items were actioned after the reporting period. Overall responsiveness during 2025 was materially delayed, with a **median takedown time of 116 hours**.

Piracymeter did not observe a Trusted Flagger-specific intake flow on Telegram during the reporting period. In particular, Telegram did not provide a verification mechanism that reliably identifies Trusted Flaggers based on their designation by the awarding Digital Services Coordinator. Instead, access to the reporting flow relied primarily on account authentication tied to a telephone number, which is a general user authentication method and does not, by itself, verify Trusted Flagger status.

Piracymeter also observed operational constraints in Telegram's DSA notice submission process. The Article 16 web form was complex to navigate and did not support submitting a single notice covering **two or more URLs**, requiring repetitive manual submissions and increasing administrative burden for high-volume enforcement.

Piracymeter communicated these issues to Telegram through its legal representative. As of **31 December 2025**, the proposed improvements had not been fully implemented. In early **2026**, Telegram provided an email channel intended to facilitate prioritised handling of Trusted Flagger notices while the web form remains under further development. Following the introduction of this channel, Piracymeter observed improved responsiveness, with takedown times reducing to approximately **48 hours**; however, continued monitoring is required to confirm that this improvement is sustained and consistent over time.

VK's video services (vk[.]com, vkvideo[.]ru)

In 2025, Piracymeter submitted notices concerning infringing audiovisual content hosted on VK's video services (vk[.]com and vkvideo[.]ru). These services are used to host and distribute video content and, in piracy contexts, are frequently leveraged by third-party webmasters who embed VK players on external sites to stream unauthorised content to end users.

Piracymeter observed structural and operational limitations in VK's notice handling. VK did not provide a dedicated web form or Trusted Flagger intake channel for submitting notices under Article 16, and Piracymeter did not observe priority handling of Trusted Flagger notices.

Notices were submitted via email; based on the reporting period outcomes, vk[.]com removed or disabled access to approximately 16% of the reported infringing content. For vkvideo[.]ru, Piracymeter observed no removals during the reporting period.

Given the role of these services as hosting and distribution infrastructure for embedded piracy streaming, the low removal rate and lack of a structured notice channel materially reduced the effectiveness of enforcement and prolonged availability of infringing content.

HUAWEI AppGallery (huawei[.]com)

In 2025, Piracymeter submitted notices concerning infringing content distributed via **HUAWEI AppGallery**.

As of **31 December 2025**, the reported applications had not been removed or disabled. The applications were actioned **after the reporting period**, and HUAWEI ultimately **removed two applications** from AppGallery following Piracymeter's notices.

Piracymeter observed material delays in processing, with an average review and takedown timeline of approximately **15 days**, which is not aligned with the time-sensitive nature of illegal distribution at scale.

Piracymeter also notes the absence of a dedicated web form or Trusted Flagger–specific intake channel and did not observe priority handling of Trusted Flagger notices during the reporting period. These factors increased administrative overhead and reduced predictability and timeliness of enforcement.

7. Independence safeguards (Article 22(3) DSA)

Piracymeter UAB maintains organisational, financial, and governance safeguards to ensure it retains independence from providers of hosting services and online platforms when acting as a DSA Trusted Flagger.

Piracymeter does not receive funding, in-kind support, or any other consideration from online platforms that could influence its notice decisions. Piracymeter's revenues are derived from subscriptions and service agreements with copyright holders.

Notices are assessed and submitted solely within Piracymeter's designated area of expertise (intellectual property infringements) based on documented criteria. Decisions to submit, amend, or withdraw Article 16 notices are made internally under controlled workflows with role-based access and audit logging and are not subject to approval, direction, or veto by the notified provider.

Operational communication with providers is limited to procedural or technical matters necessary to submit notices and to clarify processing requirements. Such communication does not affect Piracymeter's assessment standards or decision-making.

Since Piracymeter was granted Trusted Flagger status by the awarding Digital Services Coordinator (RRT), there have been no changes in Piracymeter's founders/shareholders, funding sources, or governance policies that could affect its independence as a Trusted Flagger.